

DOR CHEMICALS LTD

HAIFA - Israel | Manufacture of other chemical products n.e.c.

Company size: **M** | Assessment scope: **Entity**

Overall score

↗ 81/100

Percentile

95th



Scorecard

Publication date: 24 Aug 2026

Valid until: 24 Aug 2027

Overall score

Percentile

95th

↗ 81/100

Environment

Impact on score ●●●●

↗ 83/100

Labor & Human Rights

Impact on score ●●●●

↗ 78/100

Ethics

Impact on score ●●○○

↗ 83/100

Sustainable Procurement

Impact on score ●○○○

↗ 84/100

Environment

Impact on score ●●●●

↗ 83/100

Environment | Policies

Impact on score ●●●●

↗ 75/100

A policy is a set of objectives that addresses specific sustainability issues. It shows a company's intention to reduce impact, mitigate risk or improve performance.

Strengths

Environmental policy on energy consumption and GHGs
Environmental policy on air pollution
Environmental policy on water
Environmental policy on materials, chemicals, and waste
Quantitative targets set on energy consumption and GHGs
Formal mechanisms to review and amend environmental policy
Environmental policy scope of application specified
Dedicated responsibility for environmental policy
Comprehensive policy on a majority of environmental issues
Environmental policy on customer health and safety

Improvement areas

Medium priority	No supporting evidence of policies on product end-of-life
Low priority	No supporting evidence of quantitative target set on water
Low priority	No supporting evidence of quantitative target set on air pollution
Low priority	No supporting evidence of quantitative target set on materials, chemicals, and waste

Environment | Endorsements

Impact on score ●○○○

● → 75/100

Endorsements are your company’s public adherence or commitment to meeting objectives and principles defined by a recognized third-party organization.

Strengths

Endorsement of the United Nations Global Compact (UNGC)

Improvement areas

Low priority The company has yet to commit to top-tier environmental initiatives that integrate sector-specific issues with rigorous, science-based, or third-party verified constraints

Environment | Measures

Impact on score ●●●●

● → 100/100

Measures are your company’s actions to support your sustainability policies and commitments.

Strengths

Communication to downstream users regarding the use of dangerous substances and/or substances of very high concern (SVHC)
Company-specific emergency preparedness and response procedure regarding customer health and safety
Adoption of cooling systems with reduced or recycled water consumption
Registration of substances to the ECHA
Control measures to prevent contamination of groundwater
Provision of Safety Data Sheets (SDS)
Water audit
Wastewater quality assessment
Technologies or practices to recycle or reuse water
Provision of safety data sheets (SDS) adapted for the REACH regulation
Dedicated feedback channel on health & safety issues of products
Use of resealable and returnable packaging
Use of waste heat recovery system(s) or combined heat and power unit(s)
Energy and/or carbon audit
Work processes or technologies implemented to mitigate emissions of dust and/or particulate matter
Purchase and/or generation of renewable energy
Training of employees on energy conservation/climate actions
Fuel switch to achieve higher energy efficiency and/or lower carbon emission intensity
Periodical analysis on the volumes of major air pollutants or ambient air quality monitoring (testing levels of PM, NOx, SO2, VOC or heavy metals)
Work processes or technologies implemented to mitigate emissions of VOC, SO2, NOx or heavy metals
Work processes or technologies implemented to mitigate odor
Work processes or technologies implemented to mitigate noise
Leak Detection and Repair (LDAR) program in place to reduce fugitive emissions
Internal sorting & disposal of waste according to waste streams
Training of employees on waste reduction and sorting
Actions to prohibit or restrict the transboundary movement of hazardous waste
Actions to prevent emissions of atmospheric pollutants and other environmental nuisances (e.g. noise, odor, vibration, road and light)
Regular noise measuring campaign (site boundary noise measurements undertaken)
Reduction of internal wastes through material reuse, recovery or repurpose
Mapping of waste streams
Improvement of energy efficiency through technology or equipment upgrades
Environmental emergency measures in place
Actions for labeling, storing, handling and transporting hazardous substances
Reduction in generation or toxicity of hazardous substances or waste

Establishment of emergency reserves or safety nets for environmental disruptions
Reduction of material consumption through process optimization
Other actions to ensure safe management of hazardous substances
Physical climate risk assessment in place for the company's operations
Water basin risk assessment in place for the company's operations

Improvement areas

Medium priority	No supporting evidence of the implementation of a rainwater harvesting system
Low priority	No supporting evidence of a collaboration with external stakeholders for product end-of-life management
Low priority	No supporting evidence of an information on proper disposal of product to promote circular material flow
Low priority	No supporting evidence of an optimization of primary packaging to reduce its dimensions, weight, or simplify material mix
Low priority	No supporting evidence of the integration of adaptive practices into operational processes to withstand environmental disruptions

Environment | Certifications

Impact on score ●●●○

● → 100/100

Certifications confirm your compliance with international standards (for example, ISO 14001, ISO 45001, ISO 37001, SA8000 or FSC Chain of Custody). They must be issued by an external certification body.

Strengths

ISO 14001 certified
ISO 50001 certified

Environment | Reporting

Impact on score ●●●○

● ↗ 75/100

Reporting is based on quantitative KPIs that measure your implementation of sustainability practices.

Strengths

Reporting on total water consumption
Reporting on total weight of waste recovered
Reporting on total gross Scope 1 GHG emissions
Reporting on total weight of hazardous waste
Reporting on total amount of renewable energy consumed
Reporting on total energy consumption
Reporting on total amount of water recycled and reused
Reporting on total weight of air pollutants
Total gross Scope 1 GHG emissions reporting value confirmed in supporting documentation
Company communicates progress towards the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)
Materiality analysis in sustainability reporting
Reporting with reference to the GRI Universal Standards
Declares none of the sites/operations located in or near biodiversity-sensitive areas (not verified)
Comprehensive reporting on environmental issues
Total gross market-based Scope 2 GHG emissions value confirmed in supporting documentation
Reporting on total gross market-based Scope 2 GHG emissions
Total gross location-based Scope 2 GHG emissions value confirmed in supporting documentation
Reporting on total gross location-based Scope 2 GHG emissions

Improvement areas

Low priority	No supporting evidence of reporting on the total gross Scope 3 GHG emissions
Low priority	No supporting evidence of reporting on the total gross Scope 3 downstream GHG emissions
Low priority	No supporting evidence of reporting on the total weight of non-hazardous waste
Low priority	No supporting evidence of external assurance or verification of the sustainability reporting

Environment | 360° Watch

Impact on score ●●●●

● → 75_{/100}

The 360° Watch Findings indicator is scored based on the data we gather by scanning thousands of sources in the public domain. This allows us to get a broader overview of your company's sustainability management.

Strengths and improvement areas

No recommendations yet

News that impacted your score (2)

News stories about your company we found in public databases.

Dor Chemicals Ltd.: Overview and Sustainability Profile

ditchcarbon.com

| 01 Jul 2026

Dor Chemicals Ltd., founded in 1992 and headquartered in Israel, specializes in specialty chemicals and performance-enhancing additives. The company received a DitchCarbon score of 7 (-23 compared to the industry average), placing it lower than 16% of its peers in the carbon-intensive chemicals sector. Dor Chemicals has committed to near-term absolute reductions, targeting a 6% (location-based) or 7% (market-based) reduction in Scope 1 and 2 emissions between 2023 and 2025, despite an anticipated production increase of over 5%. Its 2023 Scope 3 emissions were primarily driven by purchased goods

360° Watch

Impact on Score

Neutral

Severity

Neutral

valid from Jul 2026 to Aug 2031



No records found for this company on Compliance Database

30 Jul 2026

360° Watch

Impact on Score

Neutral

Severity

N/A

valid from Jul 2026 to Jul 2031

Labor & Human Rights

Impact on score ●●●●

● ↗ 78_{/100}

Labor & Human Rights | Policies

Impact on score ●●●●

● → 75_{/100}

A policy is a set of objectives that addresses specific sustainability issues. It shows a company’s intention to reduce impact, mitigate risk or improve performance.

Strengths

Quantitative target set on living wage
Committed to continuously pay a living wage
Comprehensive policy on a majority of labor or human rights issues
Labor and human rights policy on working conditions
Labor and human rights policy on employee health and safety
Labor and human rights policy on child labor, forced labor, and human trafficking
Labor and human rights policy on career management and training
Labor and human rights policy on preventing discrimination and harassment
Labor and human rights policy on social dialogue
Quantitative targets set on employee health and safety
Dedicated responsibility for labor and human rights policy
Labor and human rights policy scope of application specified
Formal mechanisms to review and amend labor and human rights policy

Improvement areas

Low priority

No supporting evidence of quantitative target set on preventing discrimination and harassment

Low priority

No supporting evidence of quantitative target set on career management and training

Labor & Human Rights | Endorsements

Impact on score ●○○○

● → 75_{/100}

Endorsements are your company’s public adherence or commitment to meeting objectives and principles defined by a recognized third-party organization.

Strengths

Endorsement of the United Nations Global Compact (UNGC)

Improvement areas

Low priority

The company has yet to commit to top-tier labor and human rights initiatives that integrate sector-specific issues with rigorous, science-based, or third-party verified constraints

Labor & Human Rights | Measures

Impact on score ●●●●

● → 75_{/100}

Measures are your company’s actions to support your sustainability policies and commitments.

Strengths

Employee satisfaction survey
Health care coverage of employees in place
Compensation for extra or atypical working hours
Actions to ensure equal pay for equal work
Grievance mechanism on discrimination and/or harassment issues
Family Friendly programs (FFPs) implemented (e.g. parental or care leaves, childcare services or allowances)
Awareness training on discrimination and harassment
Actions to prevent workplace harassment
Actions to prevent noise exposure
Flexible organization of work (eg. remote work, flexi-time)
Preventive actions for repetitive strain injury (RSI)
Actions to control hazardous substance exposure
Analysis of employees’ wage levels against a living wage benchmark methodology recognized by IDH
Provision of skills development training
Actions to promote internal mobility
Employee health and safety emergency action plan
Actions to address stress and psychological wellbeing in the workplace
Equipment safety inspections or audits
Training of employees on health and safety risks and best working practices
Regular assessment of individual performance
Employee health & safety risk assessment
Individual development and career plan for all employees
Regular employee health check-up
Complaints procedure in place for employees to report on occupational health and safety issues
Actions in place to ensure health and safety of non-employee workers and other contracted workers on premises
Other actions on employee health & safety
Remediation procedure in place for victims of discrimination and/or harassment
Employee resource or support groups open to all
Actions to prevent discrimination during recruitment phase
Age verification of candidates before hiring
Grievance mechanism on child labor, forced labor and/or human trafficking issues
Grievance mechanism on working conditions
Actions to manage working hours and overtime

Improvement areas

Low priority	No supporting evidence of actions to ensure adequate wages
Low priority	No supporting evidence of employee representatives or employee representative body
Low priority	No supporting evidence of actions regarding structured social dialogue (such as collective agreements)
Low priority	No supporting evidence of training of employees on child labor, forced labor, and human trafficking

Labor & Human Rights | Certifications

Impact on score ●●●○

● → 50/100

Certifications confirm your compliance with international standards (for example, ISO 14001, ISO 45001, ISO 37001, SA8000 or FSC Chain of Custody). They must be issued by an external certification body.

Strengths

ISO 45001 certified

Improvement areas

Low priority	Current labor and human rights certification(s) are insufficiently stringent in their requirements. The existing frameworks lack the necessary depth and comprehensive scope to effectively address all material issues within the theme
--------------	--

Labor & Human Rights | Reporting

Impact on score ●●●○

● ↗ 75/100

Reporting is based on quantitative KPIs that measure your implementation of sustainability practices.

Strengths

Reporting on the percentage of women employed in relation to the whole organization
Report on average unadjusted gender pay gap
Reporting on the percentage of employees from minority and/or vulnerable groups in the whole organization
Report on percentage of women within the organization's board
Reporting on the percentage of women at top management level
Reporting on the percentage of direct employees covered by a living wage benchmarking analysis
Reporting on the percentage of direct employees paid below living wage
Reporting on number of average training hours per employee
Company communicates progress towards the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)
Materiality analysis in sustainability reporting
Reporting on number of days lost to work-related injuries, fatalities and ill health
Reporting on number of recordable work-related accidents
Reporting with reference to the GRI Universal Standards
Reporting on ratio of the annual total compensation of the highest paid individual, to the median annual total compensation for all employees
Comprehensive reporting on labor and human rights issues

Improvement areas

Low priority

No supporting evidence of external assurance or verification of the sustainability reporting

Labor & Human Rights | 360° Watch

Impact on score ●●●●

● → 75_{/100}

The 360° Watch Findings indicator is scored based on the data we gather by scanning thousands of sources in the public domain. This allows us to get a broader overview of your company’s sustainability management.

Strengths and improvement areas

No recommendations yet

News that impacted your score (1)

News stories about your company we found in public databases.

No records found for this company on Compliance Database

30 Jul 2026

360° Watch

Impact on Score

Neutral

Severity

N/A

valid from Jul 2026 to Jul 2031

Ethics

Impact on score ●●○○

● ↗ 83_{/100}

Ethics | Policies

Impact on score ●●●●

● → 100_{/100}

A policy is a set of objectives that addresses specific sustainability issues. It shows a company’s intention to reduce impact, mitigate risk or improve performance.

Strengths

Exceptional policy on ethics issues

Policies on corruption

Policy on fraud

Disciplinary sanctions to deal with policy violations

Employee signature acknowledgement of ethics policy

Policy on information security

Policy on conflict of interest

Dedicated responsibility for ethics issues

Formal mechanisms to review and amend ethics policy

Ethics policy scope of application specified

Detailed examples available regarding ethics issues

Improvement areas

Low priority

No supporting evidence of quantitative target set on ethics

Ethics | Endorsements

Impact on score ●○○○

● → 50/100

Endorsements are your company's public adherence or commitment to meeting objectives and principles defined by a recognized third-party organization.

Strengths

Endorsement of the United Nations Global Compact (UNGC)

Improvement areas

Low priority

Current ethics endorsement(s) are too general. There is a lack of participation in high-impact, sector-specific initiatives that address the unique material issues of the company's industry

Ethics | Measures

Impact on score ●●●●

● → 75/100

Measures are your company's actions to support your sustainability policies and commitments.

Strengths

Specific approval procedure for sensitive transactions (e.g. gifts, travel)

Information security risk assessments performed

Whistleblower procedure for stakeholders to report information security concerns

Awareness training to prevent information security breaches

Whistleblower procedure for stakeholders to report corruption and bribery

Audits of control procedures to prevent corruption

Audits of control procedures to prevent information security breaches

Incident response procedure (IRP) to manage breaches of confidential information

Measures to protect third party data from unauthorized access or disclosure

Training of employees on corruption and bribery prevention

Improvement areas

High priority

No supporting evidence of corruption risk assessments

Low priority

No supporting evidence of an anti-corruption due diligence program on third parties

Ethics | Certifications

Impact on score ●●●○

● → 75/100

Certifications confirm your compliance with international standards (for example, ISO 14001, ISO 45001, ISO 37001, SA8000 or FSC Chain of Custody). They must be issued by an external certification body.

Strengths

ISO 27001 certified (certification of information security management system)

Improvement areas

Medium priority No supporting evidence of ISO 37001 certification

Ethics | Reporting Impact on score ●●●○

75/100

Reporting is based on quantitative KPIs that measure your implementation of sustainability practices.

Strengths

- Company communicates progress towards the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)
- Materiality analysis in sustainability reporting
- Reporting with reference to the GRI Universal Standards
- Comprehensive reporting on ethics issues

Improvement areas

Low priority No supporting evidence of external assurance or verification of the sustainability reporting

Ethics | 360° Watch Impact on score ●●●●

75/100

The 360° Watch Findings indicator is scored based on the data we gather by scanning thousands of sources in the public domain. This allows us to get a broader overview of your company's sustainability management.

Strengths and improvement areas

No recommendations yet

News that impacted your score (1)

News stories about your company we found in public databases.

No records found for this company on Compliance Database

30 Jul 2026

360° Watch

Impact on Score

Neutral

Severity

N/A

valid from Jul 2026 to Jul 2031

Sustainable Procurement Impact on score ●○○○

84/100

Sustainable Procurement | Policies Impact on score ●●●●

100/100

A policy is a set of objectives that addresses specific sustainability issues. It shows a company's intention to reduce impact, mitigate risk or improve performance.

Strengths

Sustainable procurement policy scope of application specified
Quantitative targets set on sustainable procurement
Formal mechanisms to review and amend sustainable procurement policy
Dedicated responsibility for sustainable procurement policy
Exceptional policy on sustainable procurement issues

Sustainable Procurement | Endorsements

Impact on score ●○○○

● → 50/100

Endorsements are your company’s public adherence or commitment to meeting objectives and principles defined by a recognized third-party organization.

Strengths

Endorsement of the United Nations Global Compact (UNGC)

Improvement areas

Low priority

Current sustainable procurement endorsement(s) are too general. There is a lack of participation in high-impact, sector-specific initiatives that address the unique material issues of the company's industry

Sustainable Procurement | Measures

Impact on score ●●●●

● ↗ 100/100

Measures are your company’s actions to support your sustainability policies and commitments.

Strengths

Training of buyers on social and environmental issues within the supply chain
On-site audits of suppliers on environmental and social issues
Capacity building of suppliers on risks of sustainability adverse impacts
Supplier assessment on environmental and social practices
Supplier sustainability code of conduct in place
Worker voice surveys or grievance mechanisms for suppliers
Integration of social and environmental clauses into supplier contracts

Improvement areas

Medium priority

No supporting evidence of a risk assessment of adverse sustainability impacts in the supply chain

Sustainable Procurement | Certifications

Impact on score ●●●○

● → 50/100

Certifications confirm your compliance with international standards (for example, ISO 14001, ISO 45001, ISO 37001, SA8000 or FSC Chain of Custody). They must be issued by an external certification body.

Improvement areas

Low priority

No supporting evidence of certification regarding the sustainable procurement theme

Sustainable Procurement | Reporting

Impact on score ●●●○

● ↗ 75/100

Reporting is based on quantitative KPIs that measure your implementation of sustainability practices.

Strengths

Reporting on total gross Scope 3 upstream GHG emissions
Company communicates progress towards the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)
Materiality analysis in sustainability reporting
Reporting with reference to the GRI Universal Standards
Declares using no tin, tantalum, tungsten, gold, and/or their derivatives (Not verified)
Comprehensive reporting on sustainable procurement issues

Improvement areas

Low priority	Total gross Scope 3 upstream GHG emissions reporting value not confirmed in supporting documentation
Low priority	No supporting evidence of external assurance or verification of the sustainability reporting

Sustainable Procurement | 360° Watch

Impact on score ●●●●

● → 75/100

The 360° Watch Findings indicator is scored based on the data we gather by scanning thousands of sources in the public domain. This allows us to get a broader overview of your company's sustainability management.

Strengths and improvement areas

No recommendations yet

News that impacted your score (1)

News stories about your company we found in public databases.

No records found for this company on Compliance Database 30 Jul 2026	360° Watch Impact on Score Neutral Severity N/A valid from Jul 2026 to Jul 2031
--	---

The below disclaimers apply to you if you have no contractual relationship with EcoVadis:

1. This Scorecard has a validity of twelve (12) months from the issue date. The rating and this Scorecard have been prepared based on information provided by the rated company and in accordance with best industry practices and EcoVadis methodology, which may differ from those used by other companies conducting similar assessments. EcoVadis disclaims any liability for any actions and/or decisions taken by a third party, including any investment decision, based on the ratings and/or this Scorecard.
2. This Scorecard is based on the disclosed information and news resources available to EcoVadis at the time of assessment. Should any information or circumstances change materially during the period of the Scorecard validity, EcoVadis reserves the right to place the Scorecard on hold and, if considered appropriate, to re-assess and possibly issue a revised Scorecard.
3. This Scorecard is the intellectual property of EcoVadis and must not be: (i) copied, modified, translated, published, reproduced and/or (ii) used as part of or in connection with any other CSR/ESG assessment, unless agreed otherwise in a written agreement signed with EcoVadis.
4. This Scorecard is the confidential information of EcoVadis. Unless agreed otherwise in a written agreement signed with EcoVadis, this Scorecard and the rating must not be used by a third party as part of or in connection with any activity related to revenue generation and shared any further; it may be used only for a third party's internal purposes.
5. Only a Scorecard of a rated company having a Select subscription can be used for the purpose of ESG – linked loans and similar products offered by any financial institution outside of the EcoVadis solution.
6. The rating and this Scorecard have been prepared based on information provided by the rated company and in accordance with best industry practices and EcoVadis methodology, which may differ from those used by other companies conducting similar assessments. EcoVadis disclaims any liability for any actions and/or decisions taken by a third party, including any investment decision, based on the ratings and/or this Scorecard.